		(	COPY DISPOSITION IN A	RESSAGE CENTE		1.5	
NO COPIES	4	DIVISION	CHRONO, FILE	DIVERON AC			
RETAINED	7.4	□ w/o	ATTACHMENT WITH ATTA	ACHMENT WAS ATT	CHMENT	☐ WITH ATT	ACHMINI
ECT	1						
tr: Co	rerage Sch	edules					
INFO	ACTION	СОМ	MENT CONCURRENCE	PREPARE RECOMM	ENDATION	RETURN	FILE
ROUTING	DATE	INITIALS		REMARKS			
	RIME	N:12		onsidered several t			
	A hicks	FILE	is possible, but wil	13	TOTAL D	mblication	to
	M 7 7777 X	1.4	To hospinite and mi	IT CARLIOSO LDID OF			
		9	the point where it w	will not be efficie	ntly use	d because o	f the
	e Man	in	the point where it a bulk. Where overlap	will not be efficient oping coverage prob	ntly use	d because o cause some	f the
		in	the point where it a bulk. Where overlap questions concerning	will not be efficient pping ocverage probes the amount, demonstrates	ently use less do ity, or r	d because of cause some eception of	f the
		in	the point where it we bulk. Where overlay questions concerning programs—it might	will not be efficient oping coverage prob the amount, densi be possible to sepa	ntly use less do ity, or r crately s	d because of cause some ecoption of erve those	f the
		in	the point where it we bulk. Where overlay questions concerning programs—it might bureaus with a conse	will not be efficient oping coverage proto the amount, densi- be possible to sepa- clidated coverage a	ntly use less do ity, or r trately s theet. T	d because of cause some ecception of erve those his would	f the
		in	the point where it we bulk. Where overlap questions concerning programs—it might bureaus with a constant it necessary to	will not be efficient oping coverage proto the amount, densi- be possible to sepa- clidated coverage a	ntly use less do ity, or r trately s theet. T	d because of cause some ecception of erve those his would	f the
		in	the point where it we bulk. Where overlay questions concerning programs—it might bureaus with a conse	will not be efficient oping coverage proto the amount, densi- be possible to sepa- clidated coverage a	ntly use less do ity, or r trately s theet. T	d because of cause some ecception of erve those his would	f the
		in	the point where it we bulk. Where overlap questions concerning programs—it might bureaus with a constant it necessary to	will not be efficient oping coverage proto the amount, densi- be possible to sepa- clidated coverage a	ntly use less do ity, or r trately s theet. T	d because of cause some ecception of erve those his would	f the
	e e Man		the point where it we bulk. Where overlap questions concerning programs—it might bureaus with a constant it necessary to	will not be efficient oping coverage proto the amount, densi- be possible to sepa- clidated coverage a	ntly use less do ity, or r trately s theet. T	d because of cause some ecception of erve those his would	f the
			the point where it we bulk. Where overlap questions concerning programs—it might bureaus with a constant it necessary to	will not be efficient oping coverage proto the amount, densi- be possible to sepa- clidated coverage a	ntly use less do ity, or r trately s theet. T	d because of cause some ecception of erve those his would	f the
	e e Man		the point where it we bulk. Where overlap questions concerning programs—it might bureaus with a constant it necessary to	will not be efficient oping coverage proto the amount, densi- be possible to sepa- clidated coverage a	ntly use less do ity, or r trately s theet. T	d because of cause some ecception of erve those his would	f the
	e e Man		the point where it we bulk. Where overlap questions concerning programs—it might bureaus with a constant it necessary to	will not be efficient oping coverage proto the amount, densi- be possible to sepa- clidated coverage a	ntly use less do ity, or r trately s theet. T	d because of cause some ecception of erve those his would	f the
	e e Man		the point where it we bulk. Where overlap questions concerning programs—it might bureaus with a constant it necessary to	will not be efficient oping coverage proto the amount, densi- be possible to sepa- clidated coverage a	ntly use less do ity, or r trately s theet. T	d because of cause some ecception of erve those his would	f the

Schedules 8-1

FOREIGN BROADCAS	T INFORMATION	SERVICE	STAT
		11 March 1963	
			STAT
Dear .		Coverage Schedules	STAT
Here are two suggestion coverage schedule which I th meaningful and useful tool f and planners in Headquarters	ink will help make I for operational perso	nnel in the field	
1. Put out a Combined be done as a joint project w compiling and issuing agency FBIS only. Such a combined was doing what and thus faci	ith FBIS or BBC design, or unilaterally by	FBIS for use within	
2. Include in the list distinct reception ratings	tings of TV programs for the audio and vid	separate and leo transmissions.	
	Yours truly,		STAT

Approved For Release 2008/08/13 : CIA-RDP	81-00857R000200090001-3
	STAT
	11 March 1963
	STAT
Here are two suggestions for modifice coverage schedule which I think will he meaningful and useful tool for operation and planners in Headquarters:  1. Put out a Combined EBC-FBIS combe done as a joint project with FBIS or compiling and issuing agency, or unilate FBIS only. Such a combined publication was doing what and thus facilitate EBC-2. Include in the listings of TV distinct reception ratings for the audit	lp make it an even more hal personnel in the field  verage schedule. This could  BBC designated as the actual erally by FBIS for use within would tell at a glance who  FBIS cooperation.  programs separate and
	STAT